1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPT A Limited Liability Partnership	TON LLP
2	Including Professional Corporations PETER S. HECKER (Bar No. 66159)	
3	phecker@sheppardmullin.com DAVID E. SNYDER (Bar No. 262001)	
4	dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com Four Embarcadero Center	
5	Seventeenth Floor	
6	San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: (415) 774-3155	
7	Facsimile: (415) 403-6224	
8	FRANK G. BURT (pro hac vice) fburt@cfjblaw.com	
9	W. GLENN MERTEN (pro hac vice) gmerten@cfjblaw.com	
10	BRIAN P. PERRYMAN (pro hac vice) bperryman@cfjblaw.com	
11	RICHARD D. EULISS (pro hac vice)	
12	reuliss@cfjblaw.com CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A.	
13	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Suite 400 East	
14	Washington, DC 20007-0805 Telephone: (202) 965-8100	
15	Facsimile: (202) 965-8104	
16	Attorneys for Defendant ASSURANT, INC. and AMERICAN SECURI	ΓΥ INSURANCE COMPANY
17		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	TORTIEM DISTA	
20	PATRICK URSOMANO, GIOVANNI	Case No. 3:13-cv-04381-EMC
21	CANONICO and URSULA CANONICO, individually and on behalf of all others	
22	similarly situated,	CTIDUI ATION AND BRODGED ORDER
23	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE
24	v.	ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY; DECLARATION OF PETER S. HECKER
25	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; WELLS FARGO INSURANCE, INC.; ASSURANT,	IN SUPPORT OF SAME
26	INC.; and AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY,	
27	Defendants.	
28	SMRH:417443012.1	

Case 3:13-cv-04381-JD Document 47 Filed 02/12/14 Page 3 of 5

1 2 3	Dated: February 11, 2014	/s/ Peter S. Hecker Peter S. Hecker SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP Attorneys for Assurant, Inc. and American Security Insurance Company
4	Dated: February 11, 2014	/s/ Peter A. Muhic
5		Peter A. Muhic KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK LLP
6 7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Patrick Ursomano, Giovanni Canonico and Ursula Canonico
8	Dated: February 11, 2014	/s/ Philip Barilovits
9		Philip Barilovits SEVERSON & WERSON
10		Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Wells
11		Fargo Insurance, Inc.
12		
13		
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	T IS SO ORDERED.
	11	
15	Dated: February	TATES DISTRICT
16	Dated: February	STATUTE
16 17	Dated: February, 2014	STATUTE
16	Dated: February	United STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
16 17 18	Dated: February	United & Long Edward M. Chen
16 17 18 19	Dated: February	United & Long Edward M. Chen
16 17 18 19 20	Dated: February 12, 2014	United & Long Edward M. Chen
16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: February	United & Long Edward M. Chen
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: February 12, 2014	United Son ORDERED IT IS SO ORDERED A Chen
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: February	United & Long Edward M. Chen
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: February 12, 2014	United & Long Edward M. Chen
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: February 12, 2014	United & Long Edward M. Chen

1

2

3 4

56

7 8

9 10

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

DECLARATION OF PETER S. HECKER

I, Peter S. Hecker, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court. I am a partner with the law firm of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, LLP, counsel for defendants Assurant, Inc. and American Security Insurance Company in this case (collectively "ASIC"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below.
- 2. As set forth in the above stipulation, plaintiffs Patrick Ursomano, Giovanni Canonico and Ursula Canonico (collectively "plaintiffs") have stipulated that plaintiffs shall file and serve their opposition to defendants' motion to stay (the "motion") on or before February 12, 2014; defendants shall file and serve their reply papers on or before February 14, 2014; and consideration of the motion shall be at the earliest convenience of the Court.
 - 3. Previous time modifications in this case include:
 - On December 4, 2013, the Court continued a Case Management Conference and the hearing on the ASIC's motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 26)
 - On December 5, 2013, the parties stipulated to extend Plaintiffs' time to respond to ASIC's motion to dismiss and ASIC's time to reply. (ECF No. 28)
 - On December 12, 2013, the parties stipulated to extend Wells Fargo's time to respond to the First Amended Class Action Complaint. (ECF No. 29)
 - On January 23, 2014, the Court continued a Case Management Conference and the hearing on Defendants' motions to dismiss. (ECF No. 40)
- 4. Due to the minimal nature of the stipulated shortening of time, I believe that the requested extensions for consideration of the stay motion will not have any significant impact on the schedule for the case.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 11th day of February 2014 at San Francisco, California.

Case 3:13-cv-04381-JD Document 47 Filed 02/12/14 Page 5 of 5

/s/ Peter S. Hecker

PETER S. HECKER

-4-